

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,771	•	02/05/2002	Eiichi Tanaka	1080.1107	3358
21171	7590	04/23/2004		EXAM	ER
STAAS &	HALSEY	Y LLP	GANTT, ALAN T		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING		-	2684	4	
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/062,771	TANAKA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Alan T. Gantt	2684
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		
Period f	or Reply		·
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the process of the proc	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 February 2002.	
· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a		by the Examiner.
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap	pplication No
	application from the International Bure	*	
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.
Attachmer	nt(s)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date 3.	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson et al., in view of Ishigaki.

Regarding claim 1, Atkinson discloses the illumination and operability of a user interface of a radiotelephone. Atkinson discloses a portable terminal comprising:

a display unit for displaying a screen; (page 1, lines 23-27)

an operation member for being operated by a user; (page 1, lines 23-27

[keyboard])

a first light for lighting up the display unit; (page 1, lines

a second light for lighting up the operation member; (col. 6, lines 1-14)

a light sensor for detecting external brightness; (page 1, line 29 to page 2,

line 9)

Atkinson is not concerned with turning on the lighting in response to a predetermined first event.

Ishigaki discloses a mobile telephone with a backlight function. Ishigaki includes a control section or light control unit that both turns on a first light (back light) when any key is operated and further when a key is considered valid and is operated after the light

Art Unit: 2684

is activated and before a timer expires, a second light for illuminating the display section is activated. Thus, Ishigaki meets the following limitation:

a light control unit for turning on the first light, as well as turning on the second light according to external brightness detected by the light sensor, in response to occurrence of a predetermined first event. (col. 5, lines 5-47)

Atkinson and Ishigaki are combinable since they share a common endeavor, namely, lighting control for mobile telephone terminals. At the time of the applicant's invention it would have been obvious to modify Atkinson to include a predetermined trigger to turn on the lighting as done by Ishigaki for greater power conservation control.

Regarding claim 2, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 1 further comprising, instead of the light control unit, a light control unit for turning on the first light according to external brightness detected by the light sensor as well as turning on the second light according to external brightness detected by the light sensor in response to occurrence of a predetermined first event. (page 6, line 28 to page 7, line 7)

Regarding claim 3, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 1, wherein the light control unit turns on the first light with brightness according to external brightness detected by the light sensor. (page 6, line 28 to page 7, line 7)

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 4, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 2, wherein the light control unit turns on the first light with brightness according to external brightness detected by the light sensor. (page 6, line 28 to page 7, line 7)

Regarding claim 5, Atkinson meets the following limitations: The portable terminal according to claim 1 comprising:

a light emitter for indicating occurrence of a predetermined second event by lighting up or winking; (page 6,

line 28 to page 7, line 7)

wherein the light sensor and the light emitter are

arranged in proximity to each other; (page 2, lines 18-26 and page

10, lines 7-38) and

a window having a light transmitting member which covers and is used for both the light sensor and the light emitter. (page 2,

lines 18-26 and page 10, lines 7-38)

Regarding claim 6, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 2 comprising:

a light emitter for indicating occurrence of a predetermined second event by lighting up or winking; (page 6, line 28 to

7, line 7

wherein the light sensor and the light emitter are

Art Unit: 2684

arranged in proximity to each other; (page 2, lines 18-26 and page 10,

lines 7-38)

and

a window having a light transmitting member which covers and is used for both the light sensor and the light emitter. (page 2,

lines

18-26 and page 10, lines 7-38)

Regarding claim 7, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 1 wherein the light control unit accepts operation of the operation member as the first event. (page 7, lines 5-7)

Regarding claim 8, Atkinson meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 2 wherein the light control unit accepts operation of the operation member as the first event. (page 7, lines 5-7)

Regarding claim 9, Ishigaki meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 1 wherein the light emitter accepts an arrival of a call as the second event. (col. 5, lines 5-19)

Regarding claim 10, Ishigaki meets the limitation: The portable terminal according to claim 2 wherein the light emitter accepts an arrival of a call as the second event. (col. 5, lines 5-19)

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vance et al. discloses an electronic device, with keypads, that illuminate to proximity of a user.

Kawano et al. discloses a telephone terminal device having a through hole positioned immediately beneath at least one key button that illuminates the key button.

Morgenthaler discloses a user interface with guide lights for a mobile telephone to assist the user in the correct and efficient operation of the device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Alan Gantt at telephone number (703) 305-0077. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 6 PM within the Eastern Time Zone. The group FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to this application should be directed to the group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-4700.

Alan T. Gantt

alanT. Gant

April 17, 2004

NICK CORSINER